IMMPACT-XIII

Recommendations for Improving Assay Sensitivity in Chronic Pain Clinical trials

Srinivasa N. Raja Johns Hopkins University



Assay Sensitivity and Drug Development

Proof of Concept Studies (Phase 2)- Nat Katz

- Early stage development of a promising compound based on animal models and safety testing
- Goals: Determine potential clinical efficacy and help make an early Go-No Go decision

Confirmatory Studies (Phase 3)- Bob Dworkin

- Determine efficacy & safety of drug in a disease state
- Goals: Establish clinical indication in a specified patient population and Regulatory approval

Assay sensitivity and Clinical trials Balancing sensitivity with



Analogous to Enhancing Signal-Noise ratio

- Decreasing the noise
 - Better grounding of equipment, animal, etc.
 - Filters to reduce noice
- Improving the signal
 - Better electrodes
 - Get close to the cell





Improving Assay Sensitivity

Design (methods/outcome measures)
Accomplice (Patient / Subject)
Disease (Clinical model)

Investigator

Optimizing Study Design

- Reducing Placebo response
 - Trial duration- short (Phase 2) vs longer (Phase 3)
 - Cross-over (Phase 2) vs parallel design (Phase 3)
 - Training of patients- improve reliability & decrease intra and inter-subject variability, manage expectations
- Dosing paradigm
 - flexible
- Outcome measures
 - Composite measures (pain intensity-relief-activity)?
 - Larger area under the curve (last 4 weeks vs 1 wk)
 - Biomarkers (Imaging for POC studies?)

Optimizing Study Population

- Baseline pain severity and duration
- Compliant, skilled pain reporters (POC studies)
- Pain variability index
- Recruitment source
- Psychopathology
- Geographical/ cultural differences



Baseline Pain and Assay Sensitivity

 No effect of intra-articular morphine in patients with moderate to severe pain



Solheim N et al. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2006: 31:496

The Optimal Disease Population

- PHN vs PDN vs mixed
- Mechanistically homogenous group - phenotyping
- Optimal time in the course of the disease (natural course of the disease)



Sima et al, Diabetes Care 2005;28;89

Optimizing Investigator Factors

- Training and experience of staff
- Minimizing staff-patient interactions
- Appropriate blinding
- Minimizing financial incentives for rapid recruitment
 - "Is bigger better for depression trials?" Liu KS et al. 2007
 A significant treatment effect before about 100
 patients per arm, additional patients did not
 maintain achieved level of significance, one +ve
 study turned –ve.

Enhancing Assay Sensitivity: Potential Benefits

- Phase 2: Early identification of a "potential" promising compound in small POC trials
- Phase 3: Substantial evidence of consistent efficacy of the drug in a disease state, Regulatory approval

Summary: Enhancing Assay sensitivity

- Design, Accomplice, Disease, and Investigator
- Study design- consistent with the nature of question being asked: POC vs Confirmatory study
- Consider the balance of pros and cons of the design relative to the goals of the study: Sensitivity vs Generalizability of study results to the broader population