Central Sensitization and Centralized Pain, Chronic Overlying Pain Conditions (COPCs), and Nociplastic Pain

What is it, how can you measure it, and how does it affect pain outcomes?

Daniel J. Clauw M.D.

dclauw@umich.edu Professor of Anesthesiology, Medicine (Rheumatology), and Psychiatry Director, Chronic Pain and Fatigue Research Center The University of Michigan

Disclosures

Consulting

 Pfizer, Tonix, Lilly, Theravance, Zynerba, Samumed, Aptinyx, Daiichi Sankyo, Intec, Regeneron, Teva

Research support
 Pfizer, Cerephex, Aptinyx

In the halcyon days

There were two underlying mechanisms of pain – nociceptive and neuropathic

All pain was somehow caused by a problem in the area of the body where individuals experienced pain, and there was a good relationship between peripheral damage or inflammation – and the presence and intensity of pain . . . (proceduralists enter stage left)

 As the biopsychosocial pain models emerged, the primary CNS contributors to pain were considered to be psychological (e.g., anxiety, depression, catastrophizing)

 Basic science studies were beginning to suggest that CNS factors could augment peripheral input (i.e. central sensitization) or even cause pain behaviors in the absence of peripheral input (post-stress or CNS lesion models of pain)

Which person has pain?



Osteoarthritis

- Classic "peripheral" pain syndrome
- Poor relationship between structural abnormalities and symptoms¹. In population-based studies:
 - 30 40% of individuals who have grade 3/4 K/L radiographic OA have no symptoms
 - 10% of individuals with severe pain have normal radiographs
- Psychological factors explain very little of the variance between symptoms and structure²
- We sometimes delude ourselves into thinking that our current therapies are adequate
 - NSAIDs, acetaminophen, and even opioids have small effect sizes^{3,4}
 - Arthroplasty does not predictably relieve pain

(1) Creamer P, et. al. Br J Rheumatol 1997; 36(7):726-8. (2) Creamer P, et. al. Arthritis Care Res 1998; 11(1):60-5. (3) Bjordal JM, et. al. Eur J Pain 2007; 11(2):125-38. (4) Zhang W, et. al. Ann Rheum Dis 2004; 63(8):901-7.

Rheumatoid arthritis

 Most common autoimmune disorder, affecting about 1% of population

 Dramatic evolution in therapy and ability to treat ongoing inflammation

- 1970's Gold/penicillamine
- 1980's MTX (first drug to achieve 30% response rates)
- Current array of biologics (70% improvement)

 Although we see far less ongoing inflammation and joint damage in current era, majority of patients with very well controlled inflammation still have significant pain, fatigue, etc.

Evolution of Thinking Regarding Fibromyalgia

American College of Rheumatology (ACR) Criteria

- Discrete illness
- Focal areas of tenderness
- Pathophysiology poorly understood and thought to be psychological in nature



Final common pathway (i.e. pain centralization) d Part of a much larger continuum s in Not just pain h<mark>ts</mark>Pathophysiology fairly well understood and is a CNS process that is independent from classic psychological factors

		🔒 jpai	in.org	1
Overlapping Chronic Pain Conditions: Implica	tions for Diagnosis and	Classification - The Jour	https://www.jpain.org/article/S1526-5900(1	6)30084-0/pdf +
Amorican	RESEARCH	PUBLISHED BY The Jou	urnal of Pain, Vol 17, No 9 (September), Suppl. 2, 20	16: pp T93-T107
American	EDUCATION		Available online at www.jpain.org and www.sci	encedirect.com

Overlapping Chronic Pain Conditions: Implications for Diagnosis and Classification

ELSEVIER

Pain(

Society

TREATMENT

ADVOCACY



*Center for Pain Research and Innovation, [¶]Department of Dental Ecology, [∥]Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

CrossMark

[†]Center for Translational Pain Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina. [‡]Pain Research and Intervention Center of Excellence, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida. [§]Chronic Pain and Fatigue Research Center, Department of Anesthesiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Abstract: There is increasing recognition that many if not most common chronic pain conditions are heterogeneous with a high degree of overlap or coprevalence of other common pain conditions along with influences from biopsychosocial factors. At present, very little attention is given to the high degree of overlap of many common pain conditions when recruiting for clinical trials. As such, many if not most patients enrolled into clinical studies are not representative of most chronic pain patients. The failure to account for the heterogeneous and overlapping nature of most common pain conditions

Chronic Overlapping Pain Conditions (COPCs)

- Most highly prevalent pain conditions under age 50
 Fibromyalgia/CFS
 - Irritable bowel
 - TMJ Disorder
 - Headache
 - Interstitial cystitis
 - Low back pain
 - Endometriosis
 - Vulvodynia
 - Dry eye disease

Same central mechanisms play significant roles in all pain conditions, even those with known strong peripheral contributions

Mechanistic Characterization of Pain Variable degrees of any mechanism can contribute in any disease

	Nociceptive	Neuropathic	
Cause	Inflammation or damage	Nerve damage or entrapment	
Clinical features	Pain is well localized, consistent effect of activity on pain	Follows distribution of peripheral nerves (i.e. dermatome or stocking/glove), episodic, lancinating, numbness, tingling	
Screening tools		PainDETECT	
Treatment	NSAIDs, injections, surgery, ? opioids	Local treatments aimed at nerve (surgery, injections, topical) or CNS-acting drugs	
Classic examples	Osteoarthritis Autoimmune disorders Cancer pain	Diabetic painful neuropathy Post-herpetic neuralgia Sciatica, carpal tunnel syndrome	



Tracey & Mantyh (2007). Neuron 55 377-91.

CONTEXT Pain beliefs Expectation Placebo

COGNITIVE SET

Hypervigilance Attention Distraction Catastrophizing Pain Experience

CNS Pain Volume Control

6

MOOD Depression Catastrophizing Anxiety

CHEMICAL & STRUCTURE

Neurodegeneration Metabolic (e.g. opioidergi dopaminergic) Maladaptive plasticity



Amplified Input

INJURY (Peripheral & Central Sensitisation Nociceptive Modulation Aδ or C nociceptive input

Pain and sensory sensitivity in the population Diffuse

- Like most other physiological processes, we have a "volume control" setting for how our brain and spinal cord processes pain¹
- This is likely set by the genes that we are born with²⁻⁴, and modified by neurohormonal factors and neural plasticity
- The higher the volume control setting, the more pain we will experience, irrespective of peripheral nociceptive input



1. Mogil JS. PNAS, 1999;96(14):7744-51. **2.** Amaya et. al. J Neuroscience **Tenderness** 2006;26(50):12852-60. **3.** Tegeder et.al., NatMed. 2006;12(11):1269-77. **4.** Diatchenko et. al. HumMolGenet. 2005;14(1):135-43.

Fibromyalgia-ness

Term coined by Wolfe to indicate that the symptoms of FM occur as a continuum in the population rather than being present or absent ¹

In rheumatic disorders such as osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, lupus, low back pain, etc. this score is more predictive of pain levels and disability than more objective measures of disease ^{2,3}

 Domain overlaps with somatization in many regards, and there are many questionnaires that collect somatic symptom counts as a surrogate for this construct

1.Wolfe et. al. *Arthritis Rheum.* Jun 15 2009;61(6):715-716. 2. Wolfe et. al. *2.J Rheumatol.* Feb 1 2011. 3. Clauw DJ. JAMA, 2014.

Concept of "Fibromyalgia-ness"

Fibromyalgia Symptoms (Modified ACR 2010 Fibromyalgia Diagnostic Criteria)

 Please indicate below if you have had pain or tenderness over the <u>past 7 days</u> in each of the areas listed below. Check the boxes in the diagram below for each area in which you have had pain or tenderness. Be sure to mark right and left sides separately.



Using the following scale, indicate for each item your severity over the past week by checking the appropriate box.

No problem Slight or mild problems: generally mild or intermittent Moderate: considerable problems; often present and/or at a moderate level

Severe: continuous, life-disturbing problems

		No problem	Slight or mild	Moderate	Severe	
	a. Fatigue					
	 b. Trouble thinking or remembering 					
	 c. Waking up tired (unrefreshed) 					
3.	3. During the past 6 months have you had any of the following symptoms?					
	a. Pain or cramps in lo	wer abdomen				
	b. Depression					
	c. Headache					
4.	4. Have the symptoms in questions 2-3 and pain been present at a similar					
	level for at least 3 mont	<u>hs</u> ?	No 🗆	Yes 🗆		
5.	5. Do you have a disorder that would otherwise explain the pain?					
			No 🗆	Yes 🗆		

- 1. Wolfe et. al. Arthritis Rheum. Jun 15 2009;61(6):715-716. 2. Wolfe et. al.
- 2. J Rheumatol. Feb 1 2011. 3. Clauw DJ. JAMA, 2014.







Fibromyalgia

Centralized pain in individuals with any chronic pain condition



Degree of FM is Highly Predictive of Surgery and Opioid Non-responsiveness in Patients Undergoing Arthroplasty and Hysterectomy

- Primary hypothesis of studies is the measures of centralized pain in OA (FMness) will predict failure to respond to arthroplasty and hysterectomy
- Extensive preoperative phenotype using validated self-report measures of pain, mood, and function

Two outcomes of interest:
Postoperative opioid consumption
Pain relief from procedure at 6 months

- **1.** Brummett, C.M., et al., Anesthesiology, 2013. **119**(6): p. 1434-43.
- **2.** Brummett, C.M., et al., Arthritis Rheumatol, 2015. 67(5):1386-94.
- **3.** Janda, A.M., et al., Anesthesiology, 2015. **122**(5): p. 1103-11.

Variables Analyzed

Age

Sex

- Surgery (Knee vs Hip)
- Primary anesthetic (GA vs neuraxial)
- Home opioids (IVME)

- Pain severity (BPI)
 Overall
 Surgical site
- Neuropathic pain score (PainDETECT)
- Depression (HADS)
- Anxiety (HADS)
- Catastrophizing
- Physical function-WOMAC

"Fibromyalgia-ness" can be scored 0-31

Fibromyalgia Symptoms (Modified ACR 2010 Fibromyalgia Diagnostic Criteria)

- 1. Please indicate below if you have had pain or tenderness over the past 7 days in each of the areas listed below. Check the boxes in the diagram below No problem for each area in which you have had pain or tenderness. Be sure to mark right and left sides separately. moderate level No Pain Severe: continuous, life-disturbing problems, Right 19/31 potential **FM** score derived from 3 how widespread pain is Leg Lowe 5
- 2. Using the following scale, indicate for each item your severity over the past week by checking the appropriate box.

Slight or mild problems: generally mild or intermittent Moderate: considerable problems; often present and/or at a

	12/31 potential	Severe
a. Fatigi	FM score	
b. Troub reme		
c. Wakir (unre	derived from	
. During th	co-morbid	symptoms?
a. Pain (CNS-derived	
b. Depre	everetere thet	
c. Head	symptoms that	
. Have the	accompany	at a similar
. Do you h	CNS pain	n?
,,	No 🗆 Yes 🗆	

Wolfe et. al. Arthritis Rheum. Jun 15 2009;61(6):715-716. 2. Wolfe et. al. 1.

J Rheumatol. Feb 1 2011. 3. Clauw DJ. JAMA, 2014.

Each one point increase in fibromyalgianess led to:

 9 mg greater oral morphine requirements during acute hospitalization (8mg greater when all individuals taking opioids as outpatients excluded)

 20 – 25% greater likelihood of failing to respond to knee or hip arthroplasty (judged by either 50% improvement in pain or much better or very much better on patient global)

These phenomenon were linear across entire scale up to a score of approximately 18 - and equally strong after individuals who met criteria for FM were excluded

This phenomenon was much stronger than and largely independent of classic psychological factors

Distribution of FMness



FMness

Mechanistic Characterization of Pain Variable degrees of any mechanism can contribute in any disease

	Nociceptive	Neuropathic	Centralized
Cause	Inflammation or damage	Nerve damage or entrapment	CNS or systemic problem
Clinical features	Pain is well localized, consistent effect of activity on pain	Follows distribution of peripheral nerves (i.e. dermatome or stocking/glove), episodic, lancinating, numbness, tingling	Pain is widespread and accompanied by fatigue, sleep, memory and/or mood difficulties as well as history of previous pain elsewhere in body
Screening tools		PainDETECT	Body map or FM Survey
Treatment	NSAIDs, injections, surgery, ? opioids	Local treatments aimed at nerve (surgery, injections, topical) or CNS-acting drugs	CNS-acting drugs, non- pharmacological therapies
Classic examples	Osteoarthritis Autoimmune disorders Cancer pain	Diabetic painful neuropathy Post-herpetic neuralgia Sciatica, parpal tunnel sync rome	Fibromyalgia Functional GI disorders Temporomandibular disorder Cension headache Interstitial cystitis, bladder pain

Centralization Continuum

Proportion of individuals in chronic pain states that have centralized their pain

Peripheral

Centralized

Acute pain Osteoarthritis SC disease RA Ehler's Danlos Low back pain

Fibromyalgia Tension HA TMJD IBS

The widespreadedness of pain (half of the 2011 FM criteria) predicts increased responsiveness to duloxetine in Low Back Pain

- In LBP, responsiveness to duloxetine was strongly related to number of sites on the Michigan Body Map.
 - Average number of sites of pain in this LBP study was 3 4
 - At 14 weeks, using any measure of pain improvement, individuals with more body sites of pain were significantly more likely to respond
 - Relative response rate for responders (30% improvement in pain)
 - MBM pain sites = 1 RR = 1.07
 - MBM sites = 2 1.30
 - MBM sites = 3
 - MBM sites = 4
 - MBM sites > 5

1.30 1.34 1.47 1.60

Samumed WNT inhibitor shows differential responsiveness in OA based on pain centralization

- A small molecule, intra-articular, Wnt pathway inhibitor in development for the treatment of knee OA^{1,2}
- In preclinical studies, inhibited inflammation, decreased cartilage degradation, and regenerated cartilage¹
- In preclinical studies, demonstrated sustained local exposure and no observable systemic toxicity^{1,2}

Previous phase 1 study suggested a single intraarticular SM04690 injection appeared well-tolerated and showed potential for improving symptoms and maintaining joint space width in knee OA subjects²

1.Hood J. (2016) Abstract. Ann Rheum Dis. 2. Yazici Y. (2016) Abstract. Ann Rheum Dis.

WOMAC Pain [0-50]

Actual scores (mean)

ITT

Unilateral Symptomatic

Unilateral Symptomatic w/o Widespread Pain



Zynerba transdermal administration of CBD for knee osteoarthritis (ZYN002)



ZYN002 - Median Weekly Average Worst Knee Pain Score over Time – Females



Pathophysiology of centralized pain states

- Most patients display augmented pain and sensory processing on quantitative sensory testing and functional neuroimaging^{1,3}
- Manifest by increased connectivity to pro-nociceptive brain regions and decreased connectivity to antinociceptive regions^{2,3}

These abnormalities are being driven by imbalances in concentrations of CNS neurotransmitters that control sensory processing, sleep, alertness, affect, memory^{3,4}
 Autonomic, HPA, and peripheral abnormalities likely play a prominent role in some individuals

^{1.} Phillips, K. and D.J. Clauw. Arthritis Rheum, 2013. **65**(2): p. 291-302. 2. Napadow, V., et al., Arthritis Rheum, 2012. **64**(7): p. 2398-403. 3. Harris, R.E., et. al. Anesthesiology, 2013. **119**(6): p. 1453-1464. 4. Schmidt-Wilcke, T. and D.J. Clauw, Nature reviews. Rheumatology, 2011. **7**(9): p. 518-27.

fMRI in Fibromyalgia





`SI



SI (decrease)





STG, Insula, Putamen



Cerebellum

STG=superior temporal gyri; SI=primary somatosensory cortex SII=secondary somatosensory cortex; IPL=inferior parietal lobule.

Gracely. Arthritis Rheum. 2002;46:1333-1343.

Intrinsic Brain Connectivity is Altered in FM patients



 In FM, DMN and rEAN show greater intrinsic connectivity within component DMN (PCC), and rEAN (iPS) as well as limbic (insula), and sensorimotor (SII) regions outside conventional network boundaries.

•All FM vs. HC differences driven by greater connectivity for FM patients

Napadow et al, Arthritis Rheumatism 2010

Changes in size and shape of brain regions indicate CNS neuroplasticity in chronic pain

- Apkarian¹ was first to show that chronic pain may be associated with decrease of size of brain areas involved in pain processing
- More recently seen in virtually all other chronic pain states including headache,² IBS,³ FM⁴
- May be partially due to co-morbid mood disturbances⁶
- Data from NIH MAPP network presented at 2016 IASP (Kutch et. al.) suggests *increase* in size of and connectivity to S1 may represent neural signature for widespreadedness of pain

1. Apkarian et al. *J Neurosci*. 2004;24:10410-5. 2. Schmidt-Wilcke et al. *Pain*. 2007;132 Suppl 1:S109-16. 3. Davis et al. *Neurology*. 2008;70:153-4. 4. Kuchinad et al. *J Neurosci*. 2007;27:4004-7. 5. Chen et al. *Psychiatry Res*. 2006;146:65-72. 6. Hsu et. al. *Pain*. Jun 2009;143(3):262-267. 7. Kutch et. al. IASP 2016

Series of studies performed by Lee in RA showing differential roles of central and peripheral sensitization

- In a large cohort of RA patients being treated at a US academic medical center, 47.3% continued to report having moderate to high levels of pain and fatigue. Most of these patients had minimal signs of inflammation but high levels of FM or Fmness.¹
- Using QST, active inflammation was associated with heightened pain sensitivity at joints (peripheral sensitization), whereas poor sleep was associated with diffuse pain sensitivity as noted in FM (central sensitization or centralized pain).²
- In a cross-over trial of six weeks of milnacipran in RA patients, in the overall group there was no statistical improvement, but in the subgroup with the least inflammation (swollen joint count </= 1) milnacipran decrease average pain intensity more than placebo (95% CI -2.26 to -0.01, p = 0.04).³

1. Lee YC, et. al. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2009;11(5):R160. 2. Lee YC, et. al. *Arthritis & rheumatology.* 2014;66(8):2006-2014. 3. Lee YC, et. al. *J Rheumatol.* 2016;43(1):38-45.



Original Article 🔂 Free Access

Neurobiologic Features of Fibromyalgia Are Also Present Among Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients

Neil Basu MD, PhD 🕿, Chelsea M. Kaplan PhD, Eric Ichesco BS, Tony Larkin BS, Richard E. Harris PhD, Alison Murray MD, PhD, Gordon Waiter PhD, Daniel J. Clauw MD





Article | OPEN | Published: 08 June 2018

A multi-modal MRI study of the central response to inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis

Andrew Schrepf 🖼, Chelsea M. Kaplan, Eric Ichesco, Tony Larkin, Steven E. Harte, Richard E. Harris, Alison D. Murray, Gordon D. Waiter, Daniel J. Clauw & Neil Basu

Nature Communications 9, Article number: 2243 (2018) | Download Citation 🛓



Summary of findings from NIH MAPP Research Network studying IC/UCPPS



Increased Gray Matter Volume *in* and Connectivity *to* Sensory Cortex In Widespread Pain



Neurological Signature of Widespread Pain Includes Sensory and Insular Cortices



	Top down Functional Somatic Syndromes		Bottom up Central Sensitization	
Resolves when nociceptive input removed	No		Yes	
Sex ratio	Female>>Male		Female>Male	
Age of onset of pain	Young – typically following puberty		Any age when ongoing nociceptive input occurs	
Family history of pain	Yes		No	
Psych co-morbidity	High		Moderate	
Increased sensitivity to non-pain sensory stimuli	Yes		No	
High number of functional somatic syndromes	Yes		No	

Clauw DJ. Refresher Courses, 16th World Congress on Pain. 2016.

Pharmacological Therapies for Fibromyalgia (i.e. Centralized Pain)

Strong Evidence	 Dual reuptake inhibitors such as Tricyclic compounds (amitriptyline, cyclobenzaprine) SNRIs and NSRIs (milnacipran, duloxetine, venlafaxine?) Gabapentinoids (e.g., pregabalin, gabapentin)
Modest Evidence	 Tramadol Older less selective SSRIs Gamma hydroxybutyrate Low dose naltrexone Cannabinoids
Weak	 Growth hormone, 5-hydroxytryptamine, tropisetron, S-adenosyl-
Evidence	L-methionine (SAMe)
No	 Opioids, corticosteroids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs,
Evidence	benzodiazepine and nonbenzodiazepine hypnotics, guanifenesin

CNS Neurotransmitters Influencing Pain Arrows indicate direction in Fibromyalgia



PAIN

Endogenous opioidergic dysregulation of pain in fibromyalgia: a PET and fMRI study

Andrew Schrepf^{a,*}, Daniel E. Harper^a, Steven E. Harte^a, Heng Wang^a, Eric Ichesco^a, Johnson P. Hampson^a, Jon-Kar Zubieta^b, Daniel J. Clauw^a, Richard E. Harris^a



Nobody knew that health care



Sor the feel 6:37 PI 122,87

pokeme.com

could be so complicated

of n't

Commentary

PAIN

Hijacking the endogenous opioid system to treat pain: who thought it would be so complicated?

Daniel Clauw

In this issue, there is an especially interesting and important special review by Ballantyne and Sullivan entitled, "The discovery of endogenous opioid systems: what it has meant for the clinician's understanding of pain and its treatment".¹ This review adds to these authors' significant prior contributions to the pain field, as they are now proposing that many of the problems associated with opioid therapy can be understood mechanistically as being off-target effects on the endogenous opioid system. They describe how our emerging understanding of the endogenous opioid system might allow us to better understand how exogenous opioids can "hijack" this system to produce unexpected and undesired consequences, both when they are used for pain relief, and when they are misused or abused. They especially focus on how acute or chronic opioid therapy (COT) may impair some of the nonanalgesic functions of the endogeThese issues of excess death and addiction, combined with a lack of any evidence of long-term efficacy,³ have led many of us in the pain field to question whether opioid should ever be used to treat chronic nonmalignant pain. We know of some patients with chronic pain who are on long-term high-dose opioid therapy who are doing well (ie, have good pain control and good functional status), but these patients are exceedingly rare. Instead, we see large numbers of individuals who want to keep taking opioids, although after we assess them, we conclude that the long-term side effects of these drugs far exceed any benefit they are receiving.

This review highlights why we may see some of the more insidious problems that occur with COT, which are summarized below.

Individuals on COT may continue to "need" opioids to replicate the functions of endogenous opioids that are no longer being

Any individual with any pain state can have any of these mechanisms

	Peripheral (nociceptive)	Neuropathic	Centralized/ nociplastic
NSAIDs	+	_	-
Opioids	+	+	-
Surgery/ Injections	+	+	-
Tricyclics	+	+	+
SNRIs	+	+	+
Gabapentinoid	-	+	+
CBD	+	-	-
THC	_	+	+

Symptoms of Pain, Fatigue, etc.

Nociceptive processes (damage or inflammation of tissues)
 Disordered sensory processing

Functional Consequences of Symptoms

- Increased stress
- Decreased activity
- Poor sleep
- Obesity
- Maladaptive illness behaviors

Dually Focused Treatment

 Pharmacological therapies and procedures aimed at pain

 Nonpharmacological therapies to address dysfunction



Summary - I

There are a set of overlapping terms and concepts such as central sensitization, centralized pain, somatosensory amplification, COPCs, and now nociplastic pain that have similar meanings

- The phenotype for this underlying mechanism is quite clear:
 - Multifocal pain at present (and in past)
 - Other CNS symptoms (sleep, fatigue, memory, mood)
 - Hypersensitivity to other sensory stimuli (manifest as auditory or visual hyper-responsiveness, drug side effects)

Summary - II

The pathophysiology is becoming increasingly well understood and even at present is a far better translational predictor of what will and will not work in these patients than we have for most existing pain mechanisms/models

There are many ways to get to this final common pathways, and many ways it can be treated – but the treatment will need to involve treating the CNS

This process should be thought of much much less like other classic biomedical diseases (one gene, one mechanism, one treatment) to more contemporary models (e.g. hypertension, the RDoC concept in psychiatric disorders)

